

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,319	02/09/2004	Mathilde Winckels	234690US26	6648
22850 75	590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PICKETT, JOHN G	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		ART UNIT PAPER NUM 3728	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			U			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/773,319	WINCKELS, MATHILDE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory Pickett	3728	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	bruary 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
,—	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-12,14-17,20,21 and 24-33</u> is/ar	re rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 8,9,13,18,19,22 and 23 is/are objected	d to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∤d.				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-7, 10-12, 14-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Polsky [US 6,023,866; provided by applicant].

Claims 1 and 7: Polsky discloses a packaging unit 100 [see Figure 3] with a space for holding an article [area for receipt of sheet 111] and comprising at least one portion 110/120/130 configured such that when seen in a first direction at least a part of the article can be seen, and when in a second direction, different from the first direction, a first decorative motif I can be seen. As noted in claim 7, a transparent element may either be a transparent material or an opening. Accordingly, Polsky discloses a transparent element defined by the opening bounded by the front edges of sidewalls 120 & 130 and allowing for the viewing of an article held in the space [area for receipt of sheet 111]. Polsky further discloses at least one, second element [panel set C] extending in a different plane than the transparent opening. It must be noted that the article is not positively claimed and that packaging unit 100 need only be capable of performing the intended use of retaining an article containing a cosmetic product. The examiner asserts that the space [area for receipt of sheet 111] of packaging unit 100 is fully capable of retaining a sheet-like article containing a cosmetic product [see for

Application/Control Number: 10/773,319

Art Unit: 3728

example, Beal, Jr. US 4,611,611 where in Figure 1, sheet 1 contains a cosmetic product 19/21] and therefore meets the limitations of claims 1 and 7. The recitation of a new intended use for an old product does not make a claim to that old product patentable. *In re Schneller*, 44 USPQ 2d 1429 (Fed Cir. 1997); *In re Spada*, 15 USPQ 2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Benner*, 82 USPQ 49 (CCPA 1949).

Claims 2, 14, 16, 17: Polsky discloses additional second elements [panel set B] containing a second motif I. Polsky anticipates two panel sets [panel sets B & C] arranged in back-to-back relationship [Col. 3, lines 45-49] enabling the second motif to be seen from a third, distinct direction.

Claims 3 and 4: Panel sets **B & C** are in the shape of strips.

Claims 5 and 15: Panel sets **B & C** are a plurality of strips in parallel arrangement.

Claim 6: Polsky discloses an opening [front edges of sidewalls **120 & 130**] for the transparent portion.

Claims 10 and 11 are product-by-process claims. Polsky discloses the packaging unit **100** and panel sets **B & C** from plastic [Col. 2, lines 56-58 and Col. 3, lines 24-25]. The method of forming the device is not germane to the issue patentability of the device itself. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Claim 12: Packaging unit **100** is in the form of a box.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,319 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Claim 20: As previously noted, according to the applicant's definition, a transparent element may either be a transparent material or an opening. Accordingly, Polsky defines a third transparent element [open top, see Figure 3].

2. Claims 21 and 24-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rieumont [US 4,282,669].

Claim 21: Rieumont discloses a packaging unit 10 with a space 13 suitable for containing an article 14 with at least one portion 16/17 comprising a first element [upper element 21] of transparent material and a second element 18 having a first motif 23 associated therewith, wherein the at least one portion is suitable for viewing the article 14 in a first direction and the first motif 23 from a second direction. Elements 16 and 17 extend in different planes.

Claim 24: Rieumont discloses second motif 25 viewed from a third direction.

Claim 25: Rieumont discloses a third element [lower element 21].

Claim 26: An alternate interpretation of Rieumont reveals a packaging unit 10 having a space 13 for holding an article 14 and further comprising at least one first element 16 of transparent material enabling the viewing of article 14 in a first direction, at least one second element 18 with a first surface having a portion 22 of a first motif 23 and extending at an angle perpendicular to first element 16 for viewing the first surface from a second direction as claimed.

Claim 27: the first surfaces of Rieumont are spaced apart as claimed.

Claim 28: the first and second directions of Rieumont are predominantly as claimed [see Figure 1 for the first direction and Figure 5 for the second direction].

Claims 29 and 33: Rieumont discloses a second surface having a portion **24** of a second motif **25** extending at an angle perpendicular to first element **16** for viewing the second surface from a third direction as claimed.

Claim 30: the second surfaces of Rieumont are spaced apart as claimed.

Claim 31: the third direction of Rieumont is predominantly as claimed [see Figure 6].

Claim 32: as disclosed by Rieumont, first motif **23** is not seen from the third direction [see Figure 6] and the second motif **25** is not seen from the second direction [see Figure 5].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 8, 9, 13, 18, 19, 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 11:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sop

Greg Pickett Examiner 30 January 2006 ANTHONY D. STASHICK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Starting